FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE U.S.D.C. - Gainesville

APR -7 2008

JAMES N. HATTEN, Clerk
By

5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

9 GAINESVILLE DIVISION

11

1

3

13 Suzanne Sarver,

15 Plaintiff,

17 vs.

19 Ron Jackson, Kristi

21 Carman, Ruth Nichols, Mike

23 King, Gail Taylor, Cindy

25 Collins, Fran Chastain,

27 Rhonda Smith, Jeannnie

29 Barrett, Traci Sadler,

31 Samantha Hinkle, Leigh

33 Vermillion, Black Male John)

35 Doe 1, George Sanders, John

37 Doe 1, John Doe 2, each

39 personally and in their

41 official capacities,

43 Defendants

47

45

49

Case No.: 2 08 - CV - 0077

Complaint

JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

1	COMPLAINT
3	INTRODUCTION
5	
7	<b>1.</b>
9	Plaintiff is a citizen of Habersham County, Georgia and
11	a student at North Georgia Technical College and files
13	this action against Ruth Nichols, President of North
15	Georgia Technical College, individually and in her
17	official capacity for injunctive relief and
19	compensatory damages.
21	2.
23	Plaintiff is currently a student at North Georgia
25	Technical College but is subject to an unlawful
27	suspension from the school which is supposed to be
29	effective on April 1, 2008. This complaint also
31	requests a temporary restraining order to set aside the
33	suspension that is unlawful on its face and was
35	obtained in complete and utter disregard for the due
37	process rights of Plaintiff Sarver.
39	3.
41	
43	Defendant's conduct, jointly and severally was a
45	violation of Plaintiff Sarver's right to attend school
47	and be free from malicious and willful conduct of
49	

1	administrators, and to be free from malicious and			
3	unfounded charges and arbitrary suspensions			
5	under color of state law (U.S.C.42 §1983). This conduct			
7	was with an utter disregard for her due process rights			
9	and her right to complain about incompetent state			
11	actors guaranteed her under the First Amendment, due			
13	process under the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. and			
15	Georgia Constitutions , various state and federal laws			
17	and the Georgia State torts of libel, slander and			
19	defamation. The complained of conduct created an			
21	intimidating, hostile, offensive and abusive school			
23	environment in violation of Title IX of the Education			
25	Amendments of 1972, Chapter 39, 885 Stat.			
27	235(1972), Codified at 20 U.S.C. \$1681, et.seq. (1982)			
29	JURISDICTION			
31	4.			
33	This claim concerns the violation of civil rights and			
35	this Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§1331			
37	and 1343(3).			
39	VENUE			
41	5.			
43	Plaintiffs and most Defendants currently reside in			
45	Habersham County, Georgia which is in this District and			
47	Division; the Plaintiff was a resident of Habersham			
49	County at the time the claims arose; the claims alleged			

arose in this District. Accordingly, venue properly 1 3 lies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391. 5 PARTIES 7 6. Plaintiff Sue Sarver sues in her personal capacity. She 9 11 is a resident in the dorm of North Georgia Technical 13 College in Habersham county and can be contacted at 15 P.O. Box 845, Demorest, Georgia, 30535 or by phone at 17 404-429-5946. 19 21 Defendants: 23 (1) Ron Jackson is the Commissioner of the 25 Department of Technical and Adult Education and is 27 responsibly for formulating policies for the technical 29 schools in Georgia, including North Georgia Technical 31 College, and for the training and supervision of its 33 employees in accordance with the authority granted in the Ga. Const. Art.VII, § paras. 1 and 2, and O.C.G.A. § 35 37 20-2-50. Ron Jackson may be served at 1800 Century Place, Suite 400, Atlanta, Ga. 30345 pursuant to Rule 39 41 4(c)(2)(C)(ii) of the Federal Rules Of Civil Procedure. 43 (2) Defendant Ruth Nichols is the President of North Georgia Technical College and is responsible for 45 47 overseeing the daily administration of the school, formulating policies, and carrying out the policies of 49

```
DTAE. Ruth Nichols can be served at 1500 Hwy 197 North,
 1
   Clarkesville, Ga, 30523 pursuant to Rule 4(c)(2)(C)(ii)
 3
 5
    of the Federal Rules Of Civil Procedure.
 7
        (3) Defendant Kristi Carman is the DTAE attorney who
   has reviewed and approved all actions by the Defendant
 9
11
    employees. She can be served at 1800 Century Place,
    Suite 400, Atlanta, Ga. 30345 pursuant to Rule
13
15
    4(c)(2)(C)(ii) of the Federal Rules Of Civil Procedure.
17
19
        (4) Defendants Taylor, Collins, King, Chastain,
21
   Smith, Barrett, Sadler, Hinkle, Vermillion, Sanders are
   employees of NGTC and can be served at 1500 Hwy 197
23
25
   North, Clarkesville, Ga, 30523 pursuant to Rule
27
    4(c)(2)(C)(ii) of the Federal Rules Of Civil Procedure.
29
                      FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
31
                               7.
33
    Plaintiff Sarver has observed and complained of various
35
    criminal and tortuous misconduct by some students and a
37
      vast majority of the staff and these Defendants are
    attempting to unlawfully remove her from the campus to
39
       stop her from documenting and complaining of this
41
      conduct in violation of her First Amendment rights
43
45
        under the Federal and State constitutions. This
47
    complaint also brings claims under Georgia law for the
```

torts of libel, slander and defamation of character.

49

- 1 Plaintiff Sue Sarver is currently a student at North
- 3 Georgia Technical College where she has been illegally
- 5 suspended in retaliation for her outspoken behavior.
- 7 Her first program of study was in Motorcycle Mechanics
- 9 where she was unlawfully harassed out of the program
- 11 because of her gender. She was repeatedly retaliated
- 13 against because of her lawful behavior and her various
- 15 complaints [more than thirty] against the school
- 17 administrators (particularly Mike King who instigated
- 19 the current unlawful suspension). Plaintiff Sarver has
- 21 repeatedly documented the illegal conduct of various
- 23 staff members, including Mike King, whom constantly
- 25 defraud the taxpayers by failing to work the hours for
- 27 which they are paid and are incompetent in the
- 29 performance of their jobs. The staff at this school has
- 31 people on it that Plaintiff has never seen do any work.
- 33 The remainder of the staff is nearly totally
- 35 incompetent and do not have the intellectual capacity
- 37 to do their jobs. This school is in complete chaos.
- 39 Ruth Nichols, who is the President of the school, is
- 41 known among the students as a liar and a malicious
- 43 incompetent who orchestrates harassment of any one who
- 45 complains about the situation. This school is rife with
- 47 teachers who are not competent to teach their subject

matter. The technical schools in the State of Georgia 1 3 are in complete disarray. 5 8. 7 On or about May 29 Plaintiff contacted Cindy Collins at 9 NGTC for and requested an admissions package. 11 conversation I was advised by Ms.Collins that Plaintiff 13 needed a high school transcript for admission to the 15 Plaintiff protested the absurdity of such a 17 requirement because she has extensive college credits, 19 but was advised everyone had to produce a high school 21 diploma. Plaintiff Sarver continued to complain about such a stupid policy and was advised to ask for a 23 waiver from a Dr. King. Gail Taylor was at this time, 25 the head of admissions and was responsible for the 27 training and conduct of Cindy Collins. Cindy Collins 29 31 then advised plaintiff Sarver that she needed a 33 transcript from every school she'd ever attended. Plaintiff Sarver then advised Cindy Collins that she 35 37 would only get the transcripts to the schools that she needed transfer credit from.(actually, these people did 39 not know what DTAE policy is and what they told me was 41 43 not DTAE policy) 45 9. 47 That same day he plaintiff Sarver sent an e-mail to a 49 Dr. King and requested a waiver of the high school

```
diploma requirement. Dr. King sent a reply e-mail. Some
 1
 3
       time later, Plaintiff Sarver was reading the North
 5
     Georgia Technical College catalog and discovered that
 7
     people do not need a high school diploma to get into
 9
    the school they needed a high school diploma to get out
11
    of the school. Plaintiff Sarver immediately sent a e-
13
     mail to Dr. King and pointed this fact out. Dr. King
15
         sent back a smart ass reply. Plaintiff Sarver
    repeatedly checked with the staff and to find out when
17
19
     they received her transcripts and what their decision
21
              On or about, the 19th of June Plaintiff's
    transcripts had been received and Plaintiff Sarver had
23
    not heard from the school. On Monday on or about June
25
27
    20, Plaintiff Sarver sent an e-mail to Dr. King to find
29
    out what his decision was. Dr. King ignored Plaintiff
     Sarver's e-mails until Thursday on or about June 25.
31
33
     Plaintiff Sarver made a call to Dr. King's office and
35
      advised him that if she did not hear from him in 30
37
     minutes that she would go to federal court the next
39
     day and get a court order having her admitted to the
    school. Twenty minutes later Dr. King returned her call
41
     and Plaintiff Sarver advised Dr. King "that this was
43
45
     not 1950, you do not own the school, and I have every
47
    right in the world to go there". Plaintiff Sarver also
49
     sent an e-mail to Ruth Nichols saying the same thing.
```

1 Unbeknownst to Plaintiff Sarver she had already 3 obtained a reputation as a rabble-rouser and 5 troublemaker among the staff and administration and was 7 the subject of extensive gossip. 9 10. This Complaint is part of a much longer Complaint that 11 13 Plaintiff Sarver has condensed because the current 15 objective is to get the unlawful suspension vacated. The full Complaint should be finished by next week. 17 19 11. 21 It is the policy and practice of this school administration headed by Ruth Nichols and supported by 23 25 the DTAE attorney Kristi Carman and the Commissioner of 27 DTAE Ron Jackson to squelch complainers by 29 manufacturing false charges against them, label them as 31 disruptive and then illegally suspend them contrary to school policy, DTAE policy, and Federal and State Law, 33 35 as in the instant case. 37 12. Plaintiff Sarver initially was in a Motorcycle 39 Mechanics class but was harassed out of the class after 41 receiving substantial abuse and repeated threats by the 43 45 teacher. This matter was totally mishandled by the 47 administration and DTAE policy was totally ignored. 49 14.

```
1
     On 9:45 on August 31, 2007, Plaintiff Sarver happened
 3
    across a meeting where Defendants Sammy Howe, Mike King
    and Ruth Nichols were planning the details to illegally
 5
 7
    frame and suspend Plaintiff Sarver which was documented
 9
       by Plaintiff Sarver. Plaintiff Sarver immediately
11
    confronted Ruth Nichols who had just said "that girl in
13
     the motorcycle class, we have put up with as much as
15
    we're going to take from her. She's upsetting the boys
      in the class." (How 1950's) (This administration and
17
19
    others have for decades harassed and did anything they
21
    wanted to do without any repercussions) Needless to say
23
       Plaintiff Sarver's confrontation of them this day
25
       scared the crap out of them. They then tried other
27
      maneuvers to harass Plaintiff Sarver over the next
29
     several months which are the subject of the companion
31
                            lawsuit.
33
                               15.
35
       Sometime in December of 2007, the Office of Civil
37
     Rights investigated Plaintiff Sarver's complaints and
             these Defendants simply lied to them.
39
41
                              16.
    On or about February 5, Plaintiff Sarver went to a NGTC
43
45
      Board of Directors meeting. King, Howe and Nichols
47
     became so enraged at Plaintiff Sarver's presence that
49
     they were shaking. Plaintiff Sarver reported to Jeff
```

```
Johnson that the school was in a shambles and Nichols
 1
      was harassing plaintiff. He ignored her complaints.
 3
 5
     King, Nichols and Howe retaliated by contriving this
 7
                        false suspension.
 9
                               17.
      On or about February 25, the Campus police came to
11
13
      Plaintiff's room in the dorm and advised Plaintiff
15
      Sarver that Dr. King wanted to see her immediately.
17
     Plaintiff Sarver advised the cop to tell King to drop
19
    dead, that she was not his servant or slave and to call
21
     and make an appointment to see her like anyone else.
    Plaintiff Sarver knew that King was up to some garbage
23
25
        to harass her during the end of quarter during
       preparation for finals and disturb her straight A
27
29
                            average.
31
                               18.
     On the next Monday, King sent several e-mails to her
33
    teacher and demanded that he meet with her immediately.
35
     Plaintiff refused to interrupt her class to meet him
37
39
    and again advised King to call and make an appointment
    which he refused to do. A Thursday meeting was decided
41
    upon but Plaintiff had a problem come up unexpectedly
43
     which she had to attend to. Mike King said he would
45
47
                send her a letter which he did.
49
                              19.
```

Mike King Had cooked up the most pathetic set of facts 1 3 in the worst frame job of the century. 5 20. King then falsely claimed that Plaintiff Sarver had 7 9 made statements that were disruptive and derogatory to staff and students on February 5 (these were supposedly 11 13 racially derogatory) and February 13, and on February 15 24, 2008 went from her room to the bathroom in a t-17 shirt and underwear. (So do a lot of others including 19 the individual that complained) King put Plaintiff 21 Sarver on "Disciplinary Probation" for the remainder of the quarter and the next four quarters. The "racially 23 derogatory" comments supposedly happened on February 25 5,2008 when Plaintiff Sarver was in the TV room saying 27 "those dumb blacks" to the TV screen by herself. (the 29 fact of the matter is that this did not happen). The 31 33 complaint was by a security guard that Plaintiff Sarver had confronted several times about her incompetent and 35 criminal misconduct and was backed up by one of her 37 39 friends. 41 21. Another statement that Plaintiff Sarver made that was 43 45 supposedly disruptive and derogatory was that she confronted a convicted felon who is a Resident 47 Assistant and told him that she did not want him in her 49

```
room. (Plaintiff had some very expensive electronics in
 1
    her room) Plaintiff Sarver had observed Defendant Leigh
 3
 5
     Vermillion, who is convicted felon stealing equipment
 7
    from the Student Center, she observed him selling drugs
 9
       to other students and she has observed him taking
11
     property from other student's rooms. Plaintiff Sarver
13
       reported these events to the campus police, Rhonda
15
      Smith, Fran Chastain and Mike King who ignored her.
17
        Leigh is one of their favorites. He is currently
    shacked up with his girlfriend in his room contrary to
19
21
     the rules. (this is Samantha Hinkle who verified his
23
      complaint) (Hinkle has also been harassing Plaintiff
      Sarver) (Plaintiff Sarver refers to Hinkle as 'the
25
27
    whore of the second floor') This has been reported by a
29
     number of people to Rhonda Smith, including Plaintiff
     Sarver who ignored all the complaints. Also, a number
31
33
       of students have complained to Ruth Nichols, the
35
     President of the school about thefts from their room
37
       and nothing has been done. The RA's have keys to
39
                       everyone's rooms.
41
                              22.
       Mike King, in a breathtaking bow to the bizarre,
43
     claimed that Plaintiff Sarver's lawful and legitimate
45
47
      statements were a violation of the Student Handbook
49
      rule on page 58 which states 'Disorderly or obscene
```

conduct or breach of the peace on college property or 1 3 at any function sponsored or supervised by the college 5 or any recognized institutional organization is 7 prohibited'. No same person would even try to conclude 9 what King has concluded. 11 Plaintiff Sarver immediately requested the statements (which she had to pay for), and received them with the 13 15 complainants names blacked out. Plaintiff Sarver 17 immediately requested an evidentiary hearing and 19 appealed the matter. George Sanders, an employee 21 Nichols attempted to set the appeal on these trivial 23 matters for exam week and was advised by Plaintiff 25 Sarver that she was too busy studying for and completing her exams and provided an alternate date. 27 George Sanders ignored her and had the appeal hearing 29 without Plaintiff present. Plaintiff Sarver was also 31 advised that she could not know the name of the people 33 35 who had made statements against her. 37 23. 39 Defendant Jeannie Barrett repeatedly interrupted 41 Plaintiff' classes by sending letters to her in class and disturbing Plaintiff. Jeannie Barrett ignored 43 Plaintiffs request to call her so that she could pick 45 47 up these letters. 49 24.

1	The only thing Plaintiff Sarver would be allowed to do		
3	is to give her side of the story to a panel.		
5	25.		
7	The Committee recommended to approve Mike King's		
9	decision and to escalate the punishment to a one		
11	quarter suspension. This letter was delivered to		
13	Plaintiff Sarver 4 hours before she was to leave for		
15	quarter break. There was no findings of fact, no reason		
17	given for the escalation and they will not tell		
19	Plaintiff Sarver who was on the committee or how they		
21	reached their conclusion.		
23	26.		
25	Plaintiff Sarver immediately (on March 18) appealed		
27	that decision, requested an evidentiary hearing and has		
29	been totally ignored. All the administrators, DTAE		
31	Commissioner Ron Jackson and attorney Kristi Carman		
33	have been repeatedly advised of this misconduct. It		
35	appears that their response is to run and hide,		
37	evidencing a consciousness of their guilt.		
39			
41	RELIEF SOUGHT		
43	CONSTITUTINAL DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS		
45	DEFENDANTS FAILED AND REFUSED TO AFFORD PLAINTIFF DUE		
47	PROCESS BEFORE SUSPENDING HER FROM SCHOOL		
49	COUNT 1		

T	21.
3	Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 7 through 25 above.
5	28.
7	Defendants willfully and maliciously failed to provide
9	Plaintiff Sarver due process before suspending her by
L1	failing and refusing to provide the identities of her
13	accusers, having decisions made about her from
15	administrators and employees who have demonstrated
L 7	personal bias and animas, failing to give her an
L9	appropriate opportunity to defend herself and punishing
21	her for speech that is protected by the First Amendment
23	to the Georgia and U.S. Constitutions and using vague
25	and arbitrary standards.
27	COUNT II
29	VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW
31	29.
33	Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 7 through 25 above.
35	
37	30.
39	Defendants have repeatedly violated Plaintiff's civil
11	rights under color of state law, habit and custom
13	contrary to U.S.C.42, section 1983.
15	COUNT III
17	GEORGIA TORT OF SLANDER
19	31.

1	Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 7 through 25 above.
3	32.
5	Defendants have made numerous false verbal statements
7	regarding Plaintiff knowing that they were false and in
9	attempt to injure her reputation.
11	COUNT IV
13	GEORGIA TORT OF LIBEL
15	
17	33.
19	Defendants have made numerous false written statements
21	regarding Plaintiff knowing that they were false and in
23	attempt to injure her reputation and motivated by a
25	malicious intent.
27	COUNT V
29	GEORGIA TORT OF DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER
31	34.
33	Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 7 through 25 above.
35	
37	35.
39	Defendants have made numerous false verbal statements
11	regarding Plaintiff knowing that they were false and in
13	attempt to injure her reputation.
15	COUNT VI
17	
19	

1	Sex Discrimination Pursuant to Title IX of the
3	Education Amendments of 1972 codified at 20 U.S.C.§
5	1681, <u>et. seq</u> . (1982)
7	36.
9	Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 7 thru 25 above.
11	37.
13	Ruth Nichols as President of North Georgia Technical
15	School is subject to the provisions of Title IX and the
17	harassment of Plaintiff Sarver by staff and students
19	interfered with her ability to attend school and
21	perform her studies and activities. The deliberate
23	indifference of the staff created an intimidating,
25	hostile, offensive and abusive school environment in
27	violation of Title IX of the Education Amendments of
29	1972 codified at 20 U.S.C.§ 1681, et.seq.(1982).
31	38.
33	Plaintiff should have and recover compensatory,
35	general and punitive damages from Defendants
37	Vermillion, Barrett, Sadler, Taylor, Collins and Hinkle
39	for their willful and intentional violation of the due
41	process rights and damage to her name and reputation in
43	the amount of money damages for \$1,000,000.00 dollars
45	each.
47	
10	

1		39.
3	Pla	intiff should have and recover compensatory,
5	gene	ral and punitive damages from Defendants Ron
7	Jackson	Carmen, Nichols, King, Howe, Chastain and Smith
9	for the	ir willful and intentional violation of the due
11	process	rights and damage to her name and reputation in
13	the amo	unt of money damages for \$40,000,000.00 dollars
15		each.
17	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays the Court as follows:	
19	(a)	to take jurisdiction of this matter;
21	(b)	to grant a trial by jury;
23	(c)	award Plaintiff compensatory damages against
25		all Defendants and award punitive damages
27		against the individual Defendants under each
29		claim stated above in the requested amounts;
31	(d)	reasonable attorney fees and costs
33	(e)	enjoin these Defendants from any further
35		harassment of Plaintiff;
37	(f)	require Defendants to formulate constitutional
39		grievance and complaint processes
41		
43	RESE	PECTFULLY SUBMITTED, this 7 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2008
45		
47		
49		

## Case 2:08-cv-00077-RWS Document 1 Filed 04/07/08 Page 20 of 20

Sue Sarver

Pro-Se P.O.Box 845 Demorest, Ga.